

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS PO Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignus 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
00:405.710	02.01.2000	Andreec Sommer	P66 2717	5203

05/14/2003

SCHIFF HARDIN & WAITE PATENT DEPARTMENT 7100 SEARS TOWER CHICAGO, IL 60606-6473

EXAMINER SONG, HOON K

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED: 05/14/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			- 1 K		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/495,710 SOMMER, ANDRESS		RESS		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
1	Hoon K Song	2882			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence as	ddress		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vor Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may within the statutory minimum of vill apply and will expire SIX (6) N , cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered time ONTHS from the mailing date of this of ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status	March 2002				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 M					
, -	is action is non-final.				
 Since this application is in condition for allowation closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims 			ne merits is		
4)⊡ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	wii iroiii oonsideration.				
6) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement				
Application Papers	r cicolion requirement.				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10)⊡ The drawing(s) filed on <u>01 February 2000</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a) approved b)	disapproved by the Examin	ner.		
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	oly to this Office action.	/			
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	amıner.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.0	C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a)⊠ All b) Some * c) None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received ir	Application No			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	l Stage		
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti			al application).		
a) The translation of the foreign language pro	ovisional application has	s been received.	,		
15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest Attachment(s)	io priority under 55 0.5.	33 120 and/01 121.			
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Intervie	ew Summary (PTO-413) Paper N	o(s).		
2) Notice of Preferences Cited (PTO-992) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice	of Informal Patent Application (P			

Application/Control Number: 09/495,710

Art Unit: 2882

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

Applicant's request for reconsideration of the finality of the rejection of the last Office action is persuasive and, therefore, the finality of that action is withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-2 and 4-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fujita et al. (US 5848126) in view of Kok (US 3803417).

Regarding claims 1-2 and 4, Fujita teaches a computed tomography apparatus comprising:

a gantry having a measuring opening (figures 1 and 23a);

an x-ray source mounted in said gantry having a focus from which radiation is emitted, at least said focus rotating around said measuring opening for irradiating an examination subject from different directions (figures 1 and 23a);

a detector disposed in said opening for obtaining projection datasets corresponding to radiation incident on said detector as said focus rotates around said measuring opening (figures 1 and 23a);

a support table having a support plate, adapted to receive an examination subject thereon, and a carrier, said support plate being mounted cantilevered to said

Application/Control Number: 09/495,710

Art Unit: 2882

carrier; and a mechanism for moving said gantry independently of said support table, including movement of said gantry into a use position wherein said support plate extends through said measuring opening (figures 1 and 23a).

However, Fujita merely teaches that the support plate being non-displaceably mounted.

Kok teaches the support plate (17) being non-displaceably mounted (figure 1) and said movable carrier comprises a floor stand (16).

In view of Kok, it would have been obvious to an artisan of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to adopt the non-displaceable mount in order to position a patient between the x-ray and detector for whatever medical procedure is to follow (column 3 line 65+). Accordingly, one would be motivated to adopt the non-displaceable mount because it would eliminate movement mechanism of the support plate since the source and detector pair is independently moved to position the patient in between (figure 1 and column 3 line 65+).

Regarding claim 5, Fujita teaches that said support table has a longitudinal axis and wherein said gantry has a system axis, and wherein said support table is positionable (25) relative to said gantry so that said longitudinal axis and said system axis, when projected into a horizontal plane, intersect when said gantry is in said use position (figure 1 and 23a).

Regarding claim 6, Fujita teaches that said gantry has a system axis and further comprising a motor drive (85) for moving said gantry along said system axis to allow

Application/Control Number: 09/495,710

Art Unit: 2882

scanning of a volume of an examination subject adapted to be received on said support plate in said measuring opening (figure 25).

Regarding claim 7, Fujita teaches that said mechanism comprises rails (17) along which said gantry is movable (figure 1).

Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fujita as modified by Kok as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Barth (US 6125163).

Regarding claim 3, Fujita as modified by Kok merely teaches that the carrier comprises a ceiling stand.

Barth teaches the ceiling stand (10, figure 7)

In view of Barth, it would have been obvious to an artisan of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to adopt the ceiling stand in order to support a patient (column 5 line 15+). Accordingly, one would be motivated to adopt the ceiling support because it would eliminate obstacle under the support plate since the source and detector pair is positioned to accept the patient in between (figure 7).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hoon K Song whose telephone number is 703-308-2736. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 AM - 5 PM, Monday - Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Kim can be reached on 703-305-3492. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-746-4858 for regular communications and 703-308-7724 for After Final communications.

Art Unit: 2882

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

Hoon K. Song April 21, 2003

